

FINAL REPORT
JANUARY 1998

REPORT NO. 98-02

19980914 018

120MM PROPELLANT DRUMS
FIRST ARTICLE TESTING (FAT)

Prepared for:
U.S. Army Armament Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-ESK
Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

Distribution Unlimited

DTIC



VALIDATION ENGINEERING DIVISION
SAVANNA, ILLINOIS 61074-9639

AVAILABILITY NOTICE

A copy of this report will be furnished each attendee on automatic distribution. Additional copies or authority for reprinting may be obtained by written request from Director, U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center, ATTN: SIOAC-DEV, 3700 Army Depot Road, Savanna, IL 61074-9639.

DISTRIBUTION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement.

The information contained herein will not be used for advertising purposes.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			UNLIMITED		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 98-02			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) SIOAC-DEV	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ATTN: SIOAC-DEV Savanna, IL 61074-9639			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) AMSTA-AR-ESK	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ATTN: AMSTA-AR-ESK Rock Island, IL 61299-7300			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
					WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) 120mm Propellant Drums First Article Testing (FAT)					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Ejike J. Ajalla					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1998 January	
15. PAGE COUNT					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
<p>The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center (DAC), Validation Engineering Division (SIOAC-DEV), was tasked by the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC) to conduct First Article Testing (FAT) on 120mm propellant drums supplied by Greif Brothers Corporation, Baltimore, MD. As tested, all production prototypes of the 120mm propellant drums met minimum requirements of FAT. This report contains details of the tests conducted.</p>					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL JEROME H. KROHN			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 815-273-8929		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SIOAC-DEV

U.S. ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER
VALIDATION ENGINEERING DIVISION
SAVANNA, IL 61074-9639

REPORT NO. 98-02

120MM PROPELLANT DRUMS FIRST ARTICLE TESTING (FAT)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	PAGE NO.
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
A. BACKGROUND.....	1-1
B. AUTHORITY.....	1-1
C. OBJECTIVE.....	1-1
D. CONCLUSION.....	1-1
2. ATTENDEES.....	2-1
3. TEST PROCEDURES.....	3-1
4. TEST ITEM.....	4-1
5. TEST EQUIPMENT.....	5-1
6. TEST RESULTS.....	6-1
7. PHOTOGRAPHS.....	7-1
8. GRAPHS.....	8-1

PART 1

INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND. The U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center (DAC), Validation Engineering Division (SIOAC-DEV), was tasked by the U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC) to conduct First Article Testing (FAT) on 120mm propellant drums supplied by Greif Brothers Corporation, Baltimore, MD.

B. AUTHORITY. This program was conducted IAW mission responsibilities delegated by the U.S. Army Materiel Command (AMC), Logistics Support Activity Packaging, Storage, and Containerization Center (LOGSAPSCC).

C. OBJECTIVE. The objective of these tests was to determine if 120mm propellant drums could meet the requirements of FAT.

D. CONCLUSION. Six drums were tested. Based on the test data recorded, it was found that all the production prototypes of the 120mm propellant drums passed FAT requirements.

PART 2

JANUARY 1998

ATTENDEES

Ejike J. Ajalla
Mechanical Engineer
DSN 585-8434
815-273-8434

Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center
ATTN: SIOAC-DEV
3700 Army Depot Road
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

William R. Meyer
General Engineer
DSN 585-8090
815-273-8090

Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center
ATTN: SIOAC-DEV
3700 Army Depot Road
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

Quinn D. Hartman
General Engineer
DSN 585-8992
815-273-8992

Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center
ATTN: SIOAC-DEV
3700 Army Depot Road
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

Daryl Sieczkowski
Electronics Technician
DSN 585-8988
815-273-8988

Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center
ATTN: SIOAC-DEV
3700 Army Depot Road
Savanna, IL 61074-9639

Mark Rehmstedt
Packaging Engineer
DSN 793-8206
309-782-8206

Commander
U.S. Army Armament Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-ESK
Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

Philip C. Desmond
Engineer
DSN 880-2570
973-724-2570

Commander
U.S. Army Armament Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-WEP
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

ATTENDEES (Continued)

**Dave Whitney
Program Engineer
DSN 931-8260
540-639-8260**

**Alliant Techsystems
Radford Army Ammunition Plant
Conventional Munitions Group
Radford, VA 24141-0100**

PART 3

TEST PROCEDURES

The following tests were conducted:

A. LEAK INTEGRITY TEST: A pressure transducer was used with data recording equipment to record pressure loss over a period of time. Utilizing this test method, the samples were pressurized with air to 3 psig with readings taken for a period of 15 seconds. Pass/fail criteria for these tests was no pressure loss for 15 seconds.

B. STACKING TEST: The test sample must be subjected to a force applied to the top surface of the test sample equivalent to the total weight of identical packages which might be stacked on it during transportation. The minimum height of the stack, including the test sample, must be 3.0 meters (10 feet). The duration of the test must be 24 hours, except that plastic drums, jerricans, and composite packaging 6HH, intended for liquids, were subjected to the stacking test for a period of 28 days at a temperature of not less than 40 degrees Celsius (104 degrees Fahrenheit). Alternative test methods which yield equivalent results may be used if approved by the Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety.

C. VIBRATION TEST: Three sample packagings, selected at random, must be filled and closed as for shipment. The three samples must be placed on a vibrating platform that has a vertical or rotary double-amplitude (peak-to-peak displacement) of one inch. The packages should be constrained horizontally to prevent them from falling off the platform, but must be left free to move vertically, bounce, and rotate. The test must be performed for one hour at a frequency that causes the package to be raised from the vibrating platform to such a degree that a piece of material approximately 1.6mm (0.063-inch) thickness (such as steel strapping or paperboard) can be passed between the bottom of any package and the platform.

D. DROP TEST: Each package will be dropped onto a non-yielding surface from a specified height. The drop height is measured as the vertical distance from the target to the lowest point of the package. The drop height for Packaging Group I is 1.8 meters (5.9 feet), for Packaging Group II, it is 1.2 meters (3.9 feet), and Packaging Group III is 0.8 meter (2.6 feet).

E. Pass/Fail Criteria: A package passes the above tests if there is no rupture or leakage from any of the samples.

PART 4

TEST ITEM

120mm Steel Containers:

a. Overall Height	25-3/8 inches
b. Body Height	25-1/16 inches
c. Outside Diameter	16-5/8 inches
d. Inside Diameter	16 inches
e. Outside Diameter of Cover	16-15/16 inches
f. Inside Diameter of the Bottom	15-3/16 inches
g. Outside Diameter of the Bottom	15-7/16 inches
h. Total tested	6

PART 5

TEST EQUIPMENT

A. Compression Tester.

- | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Manufacturer: | Ormond Manufacturing |
| 2. Platform: | 60- by 60-inches |
| 3. Compression Limit: | 50,000 pounds |
| 4. Tension Limit: | 50,000 pounds |

B. Transportation Simulator.

- | | |
|------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Manufacturer: | Gaynes Laboratory |
| 2. Capacity: | 6,000-pound pallet |
| 3. Displacement: | 1/2-inch amplitude |
| 4. Speed: | 50 to 400 rpm |
| 5. Platform: | 5- by 8-foot |

C. Scale.

- | | |
|------------------|------------------|
| 1. Manufacturer: | Fairbanks Scales |
| 2. Model: | H90-5200 |
| 3. Platform: | 6- by 8-foot |
| 4. Capacity: | 10,000 pounds |

PART 6

TEST RESULTS

a. Six sample drums, marked nos. 1 - 6 selected for leak integrity testing, were subjected to a leakproofness test at 3 psi for a period of 15 seconds. The drums successfully passed the test.

Each of the sample drums, marked nos. 1 - 3, was filled with sand to a total weight of 260 pounds and secured with a closure band and latch to ensure the container remained closed. The drums successfully passed 15 seconds of the leakproofness test at 3 psi. Following this test, the containers were subjected to 2,000 pounds of compression testing for a period of 24 hours. At the end of the 24-hour period, no physical damage to the drums was noticed. One hour after removal of the compression load, the three drums were subjected to 15 seconds leakproofness testing at 3 psi. The drums successfully passed leakproofness testing.

Each of the three drum samples, marked nos. 4 - 6, was filled with sand to a total weight of 260 pounds. The drums securely sealed, as for shipment, were subjected to 1-hour vibration testing. At the end of this test, the three drums were placed on their sides to check for any visible signs of sand leakage. There was no leakage; therefore, the drums passed vibration testing. The three drums were then subjected to 15 seconds of leakproofness testing at 3 psi, which they passed.


b. Two orientations of drop tests were conducted:

(1) The first drop orientation. Each of the three drums struck the floor diagonally on the circumferential seam from a height of 3.9 feet (47 inches) with only minor damage to the sides of the drums. None of the drums showed any signs of leakage of contents; therefore, they passed the drop test.

(2) The second drop orientation. Each of the three drums struck the floor flat on the container lid (upside down orientation) from a height of 3.9 feet (47 inches). There were no signs of any damage to the sides of the drums. The drums did not show any signs of leakage of contents; therefore, they passed the drop test.


PART 7

PHOTOGRAPHS



	U.S. ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER AND SCHOOL - SAVANNA, IL	
--	---	--

PHOTO NO. A0317-SCN-98-DE120DR5. This photograph shows the drop height of container no. 2 during testing.
--



U.S. ARMY DEFENSE AMMUNITION CENTER AND SCHOOL -
SAVANNA, IL

PHOTO NO. A0317-SCN-98-DE120DR6. This photograph shows a closeup view of container no. 2 after leak integrity testing.

PART 8

GRAPHS


Container 10, Initial Check

Jan 15 13:47:06 1998

1

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 20, initial check

Jan 15 14:06:00 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

Container 3A, Initial Check

Jan 15 13:32:14 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 4A, initial check

Jan 15 14:30:32 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample

Seconds X 1.0000


container 50, initial check

Jan 15 14:41:54 1998

7

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 6A, initial check

Jan 15 14:52:52 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 1A, after loading

Jan 20 09:12:50 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

Container 2A, after loading

Jan 20 09:24:22 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 3A, after loading

Jan 20 09:34:48 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 1A, after stacking

Jan 21 11:09:52 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample

Seconds X 1.0000


container 2A, after stacking

Jan 21 11:16:44 1998

7

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 3A, after stacking

Jan 21 11:22:44 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000

Container 1a, after vibration

Jan 21 14:07:12 1998



Time of Sample


Seconds X 1.0000

container 2a, after vibration

Jan 21 14:01:38 1998

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample

Seconds X 1.0000


container 3a, after vibration

Jan 21 13:56:04 1998

7

Container Pressure

PSI X 1.0000



Time of Sample

Seconds X 1.0000